Smale Flows on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$

Ketty A. de Rezende* Guido G. E. Ledesma† Oziride Manzoli Neto‡

December 24, 2014

arXiv:1407.6401v2 [math.DS] 22 Dec 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we use abstract Lyapunov graphs as a combinatorial tool to obtain a complete classification of Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. This classification gives necessary and sufficient conditions that must be satisfied by an (abstract) Lyapunov graph in order for it to be associated to a Smale flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

1 Introduction

In this article, we investigate the relationship between the topology and dynamics of Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. A strategy that has been very successful in analyzing smooth flows on manifolds is to consider first, the local dynamics described by the chain recurrent behavior on the basic sets and secondly, to examine how these basic sets fit together.

Our approach will be qualitative in nature and make use of the methods introduced by Franks, [10] and further developed by de Rezende, [7], in their study of Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^3$ and by Yu in [13] in his study of non-singular Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Our main contribution herein is to consider Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with singularities, which adds considerable difficulty to the embedding problem of the basic blocks containing the basic sets.

A qualitative analysis of a flow on a compact manifold M can be divided into two parts, namely, into chain recurrent pieces and and orbits that exhibit gradient-like behaviour among these pieces. This was very nicely captured in a theorem of Conley's [5] that proves the existence of continuous Lyapunov functions for continuous flows $\phi_t : M \to M$. Results of Wilson, [12], assert that it is possible to choose a smooth Lyapunov function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.1. *If $\phi_t : M \to M$ is a flow and $\epsilon > 0$, we say there is an ϵ-chain from x to y provided that there exist points $x_1 = x, x_2, \ldots, x_n = y$ and real numbers $t(i) > 1$ such that*

$$d(\phi_{t(i)}(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \epsilon$$

*for all $1 \leq i < n$. A point x is called **chain-recurrent** if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an ϵ-chain from x to x. The set of chain recurrent points $\mathfrak{R}$ is called the **chain recurrent set**.*

It is easy to see that the chain recurrent set is compact and invariant under the flow.

Definition 1.2. *If $\phi_t : M \to M$ is a smooth flow, then a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ will be called a **Lyapunov function** provided*

1. *$\frac{d}{dt}(f(\phi_t x)) < 0$ if it is not in the chain recurrent set $\mathfrak{R}$.*

*Partially supported by CNPq under grant 302592/2010-5 and by FAPESP under grant 2012/18780-0.

†Supported by CNPq under grant 141717/2012-2

‡Supported by FAPESP under grant 2012/24249-5.

2. *If $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$ then $f(x) = f(y)$ if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there are ϵ-chains from x to y and from y to x.*

The qualitative study of flows will first be local in nature, that is, it comprises the analysis of the dynamics of the chain recurrent pieces. In this work, for example, dynamical-topological invariants associated to the basic sets will characterize the possible basic blocks that contain them as maximal invariant sets. The second part, constitutes a global characterization of how these pieces fit together to form the manifold and realize a smooth flow on it. The question of how the collection of the chain recurrent pieces fit together to form $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is, in fact, an embedding problem. In this work, as in [7] and [13] we make use of a Lyapunov graph as a combinatorial tool which gives a global picture of the disposition of the basic sets within the manifold.

For a Smale flow ϕ_t on a compact 3-manifold M with Lyapunov function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ define the following equivalence relation on M: $x \sim_f y$ if and only if x and y belong to the same connected component of a level set of f. This determines a graph $M/\sim_f$ which we say is a ***Lyapunov graph associated to the Lyapunov function f and the flow ϕ_t*** if the vertices are labelled with a chain recurrent flow on a compact set and the edges with the genera of the level surfaces given by $f^{-1}(c)$ where c is a regular value.

One should point out that this definition differs from the ones in [10] and in [13] since the dynamics under consideration therein, being non-singular, forced the genera to be equal to one in the first case and less than or equal to one in the latter case. The presence of singularities, as is the case herein, permits level surfaces of all genera. As a guiding principle, the more complicated the flow or if the phase space is higher dimensional, the more labelling with topological invariants of the associated Lyapunov graph may be required in order to obtain meaningful characterization results.

The ***cycle rank*** of the graph is the maximum number of edges that can be removed without disconnecting the graph and denoted by $\beta(L)$. The ***indegree*** of a vertex u in L is the number of incoming edges incident to u and the ***outdegree*** of u is the number of outgoing edges incident to u.

Definition 1.3. *An **abstract Lyapunov graph** is a finite, connected, oriented graph L such that:*

1. *L has no oriented cycles;*
2. *each vertex is labelled with a chain recurrent flow on a compact space;*
3. *each edge is labelled with a non-negative number g, which we refer to as the weight on the edge.*

The following result is the main theorem in this paper which characterizes Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let L be an abstract Lyapunov graph. L is associated with a Lyapunov function and a Smale flow ϕ_t on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ if and only if:*

1. *The underlying graph L is an oriented graph with exactly one edge attached to each sink or source vertex. Moreover, the sink (source) vertex is labelled with an index 0 (index 3) singularity or an attracting (repelling) periodic orbit.*
2. *If a vertex of indegree e^+ and outdegree e^- is labelled with a singularity of index 2 (index 1), then $1 \leq e^+ \leq 2$ and $e^- = 1$ ($1 \leq e^- \leq 2$ and $e^+ = 1$).*
3. *If a vertex v of indegree $e^+ > 0$ and outdegree $e^- > 0$ is labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type associated to a non-negative integer matrix $A_{m\times m}$ with $k = \dim \ker ((I - B) : F_2^m \to F_2^m))$, $F_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $b_{ij} = a_{ij} \bmod 2$ and $g_i^+ (g_j^-)$ are the weights on the incoming (outgoing) edges incident to the vertex v, where $G^- = \sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^-$ and $G^+ = \sum_{i=1}^{e^+} g_i^+$, then there are two cases to consider: $\beta(L) = 0$ and $\beta(L) = 1$.*

   (a) $\beta(L) = 1$ we have:

$$k + 1 - G^- \leq e^+ \leq k + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k + 1 - G^+ \leq e^- \leq k + 1. \tag{1}$$

(b) $\beta(L) = 0$ *we have:*

i. There exists at most one vertex labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type with

$$k - G^- = e^+ \quad \textit{and} \quad k - G^+ = e^- \tag{2}$$

Any other vertex labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type satisfies the inequalities in (1).

ii. If no vertex on L satisfies the equality in (2) then there must be an edge in L with non zero weight.

4. All vertices must satisfy the Poincaré-Hopf condition, i.e., for a vertex labelled with a singularity of index r, the condition is

$$(-1)^r = e^+ - e^- - \sum g_j^+ + \sum g_i^- \tag{3}$$

and for a vertex labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type or a periodic orbit, the condition is

$$0 = e^+ - e^- - \sum g_j^+ + \sum g_i^- \tag{4}$$

The proof of the necessity of the conditions in Theorem 1.1 follow from Propositions 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4. The proof of the sufficiency of the conditions in Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 6.4.

In Section 2 we introduce background material. In Section 3 we provide some topological properties of embedded surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. We introduce the notion of 3-manifolds of handlebody type. In Section 4 we study the relationship between the graph and manifolds of handlebody type. In Sections 5 and 6 we state and prove propositions which comprise the foundation of the proof of the main result, Theorem 1.1. Throughout this paper, we consider homology with $F_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients.

2 Background and Definitions

In this section, we provide background material, basic definitions and theorems, essential to the understanding of our work.

2.1 Smale Flows

A compact invariant set Λ for a smooth flow $\phi_t : M \to M$ is said to have a ***hyperbolic structure*** provided that the tangent bundle of M restricted to Λ can be written as the Whitney sum of three sub-bundles $E^u + E^s + E^c$, each being invariant under $D\phi_t$ for all t, in such a way that E^c is spanned by the vector field tangent to the flow and there are constants C, $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$\|D\phi_t(v)\| \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in E^s,\ t \geq 0$$

and

$$\|D\phi_t(v)\| \geq C^{-1}e^{\alpha t}\|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in E^u,\ t \geq 0.$$

An important consequence of the hyperbolicity is that $\mathfrak{R}$ is decomposed into finitely many irreducible pieces [11].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that the chain recurrent set $\mathfrak{R}$ of a flow on a compact manifold $\phi_t : M \to M$ has a hyperbolic structure. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ is a finite disjoint union of compact invariant sets $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n$ and each Λ_i contains a point whose forward orbit is dense in Λ_i.*

The sets Λ_i are called ***basic sets*** of the flow and are precisely the chain transitive pieces of $\mathfrak{R}$, which are not separated by Lyapunov function.
If Λ is a compact invariant hyperbolic set for a flow then each orbit in Λ has a ***stable*** and ***unstable manifold***. These are defined as follows for $x \in \Lambda$,

$$W^s(x) = \{y \in M \mid \text{for some } r \in \mathbb{R},\ d(\phi_t(y), \phi_{t+r}(x)) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty\}$$

and

$$W^u(x) = \{y \in M \,|\, \text{for some}\, r \in \mathbb{R},\, d(\phi_t(y), \phi_{t+r}(x)) \to 0 \,\text{as}\, t \to -\infty\}$$

Definition 2.1. *A flow $\phi_t : M \to M$ with hyperbolic chain recurrent set $\mathfrak{R}$ is said to satisfy the **transversality condition** provided that for each $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$, the manifolds $W^s(x)$ and $W^u(y)$ intersect transversally.*

Definition 2.2. *A smooth flow $\phi_t : M \to M$ on a compact manifold is called a **Smale flow** provided:*

1. *its chain recurrent set $\mathfrak{R}$ has a hyperbolic structure and $\dim \mathfrak{R} \leq 1$;*
2. *it satisfies the transversality condition.*

Bowen [3] gives a complete dynamical description of the basic sets of Smale flows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let ϕ_t be a flow with hyperbolic chain recurrent set and Λ a basic set of dimension 1, then ϕ_t restricted to Λ is topologically conjugate to the suspension of a subshift of finite type associated to an irreducible matrix.*

Hence, the chain recurrent set of a Smale flow is made up of singularities, periodic orbits and suspensions of subshifts of finite type.

Definition 2.3. *If A and B are non-negative integer matrices they are **flow equivalent** provided the suspension of the subshifts of finite type $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$ are topologically equivalent.*

Also, we will need to define the genus of a manifold and use the following result which characterizes it.

Definition 2.4. *Let M be a smooth, compact, connected n-manifold with boundary. The **genus of manifold** M, $g(M)$ is the maximal number of mutually disjoint, smooth, compact, connected, two-sided codimension one submanifolds that do not disconnect M.*

The following result gives the relation between the cycle rank of L and the genus of the fundamental group of M.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a connected, closed smooth n-manifold. Let ϕ_t be a smooth flow on M with associated Lyapunov function f. Let L be a Lyapunov graph associated to f. Then*

$$\beta(L) \leq g(M)$$

See [6] for the proof.

Let ϕ_t be a smooth flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with L its associated Lyapunov graph. Since, $g(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = 1$ by Proposition 2.1, one has

$$\beta(L) \leq 1$$

Thus, Lyapunov graphs associated with smooth flows, in particular Smale flows, on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ have at most one cycle.

2.2 Filtration and Homology

The existence of a smooth Lyapunov function for a flow ϕ_t implies the existence of a filtration associated to it.

Definition 2.5. *If $\phi_t : M \to M$ is a flow with hyperbolic chain recurrent set with basic sets $\{\Lambda_i\}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$, a **filtration** associated with ϕ_t is a collection of submanifolds $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_n = M$ such that*

1. *$\phi_t(M_i) \subset \operatorname{int} M_i$, for each $t > 0$;*
2. *$\Lambda_i = \bigcap_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_t(M_i - M_{i-1})$.*

The following is a result due to Bowen and Franks [4].

Theorem 2.3. *Let ϕ_t be a Smale flow and let M_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ be a filtration associated to ϕ_t. Suppose that $\Lambda_i = \bigcap_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_t(M_i - M_{i-1})$ is a basic set of index k labelled with a structure matrix $A_{m\times m}$. Then*

$$H_s(M_i, M_{i-1}; F_2) = 0, \text{ se } \quad s \neq k, k+1;$$

$$H_k(M_i, M_{i-1}; F_2) \cong F_2^m/(I-B)F_2^m;$$
$$H_{k+1}(M_i, M_{i-1}; F_2) \cong \ker((I-B) \text{ on } F_2^m),$$

where $B = A \bmod 2$.

See [9] for the proof.

The following theorem in [7] for Smale flows on S^3, completely classifies Lyapunov graphs for flows on S^3.

Theorem 2.4. *Let L be an abstract Lyapunov graph. L is associated with a Smale flow ϕ_t on $\mathbb{S}^3$ if only if the following conditions hold:*

1. *The underlying graph L is a tree with exactly one edge attached to each sink or source vertex. Moreover, the sink (source) vertex is labelled with an index 0 (index 3) singularity or an attracting (repelling) periodic orbit.*

2. *If a vertex is labelled with a singularity of index 2 (index 1) then $1 \leq e^+ \leq 2$ and $e^- = 1$ ($e^+ = 1$ and $1 \leq e^- \leq 2$). If a vertex is labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type and $A_{m\times m}$ is the non-negative integer matrix representing this subshift, then*

$$\begin{gathered} e^+, e^- > 0, \\ k+1-G^- \leq e^+ \leq k+1, \text{ with } \quad G^- = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^- \quad \text{and} \\ k+1-G^+ \leq e^- \leq k+1 \text{ with } \quad G^+ = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{e^+} g_j^+ \end{gathered}$$

   where $k = \dim \ker((I-B) : F_2^m \to F_2^m)$ and $b_{ij} = a_{ij} \bmod 2$, $e^+(e^-)$ is the number of incoming (outgoing) edges incident to the vertex and $g_j^+(g_i^-)$ is the weight on an incoming (outgoing) edge incident to the vertex.

3. *All vertices satisfy the Poincaré-Hopf condition (3) and (4).*

3 Surfaces Embedded in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$

3.1 Some topological facts in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$

In our work it is important to have a characterization of the generators of the two dimensional homology of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be an orientable closed connected surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ such that S is non separating and $i : S \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is the inclusion map. Then the induced homomorphism*

$$i_* : H_2(S) \to H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since S is non separating, there are two points A and B on a tubular neighborhood of S which can be connected by a path that intersects S in one single point C. This path can be extended to a loop which intersects S in C. It follows that the one dimensional homology class $[\gamma]$ and the two dimensional homology class $[S]$ have a non-zero intersection number. Therefore, both $[\gamma]$ and $[S]$ are non-trivial elements in the homology of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Since, $H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong F_2$, one has that i_* is an isomorphism. □

Definition 3.1. *Let S be a closed surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and let $i : S \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be the inclusion embedding. Let*

$$i_* : \pi_1(S) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$$

*be the homomorphism induced by i. We say that S is π_1-**trivial** in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ if i_* is trivial and π_1-**non-trivial** otherwise.*

The study of embedded surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is essential to our work. Some of these embeddings have been previously studied, such as, the embedding of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ in [2] and the embedding of the torus in [13]. In this section, we present other embeddings that are relevant for our work. The following result of the embedding of $\mathbb{S}^2$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is a well known result with a nice proof in [1].

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be a differential embedding of the 2-sphere in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, then*

1. *either S bounds a 3-ball,*
2. *or S is homotopic to a fiber $S^2 \times \{t\}$, with $t \in S^1$.*

Now let ϕ_t be a Smale flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with Lyapunov function $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ and let L be the Lyapunov graph associated to f. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a regular value, then $f^{-1}(c)$ is the disjoint collection of orientable, closed connected surfaces. Let $\mathcal{T}_g \subset f^{-1}(c)$ be a connected, closed surface of genus g. Then by the topology of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, $\mathcal{T}_g$ can be a non separating surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

If $\mathcal{T}_g$ is non separating, there exists another connected component of the regular level set $\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}$ non-parallel to $\mathcal{T}_g$, such that $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - (\mathcal{T}_g \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}})$ has two components. We denote their closures by M_1 and M_2. Therefore, M_1 and M_2 satisfy

$$M_1 \cup M_2 = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 \cap M_2 = \mathcal{T}_g \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}$$

Also, $\partial M_1 = \partial M_2 = \mathcal{T}_g \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}$. The following lemma gives us homological information on M_1 and M_2.

Lemma 3.2. *M_1 and M_2 as defined above satisfy:*

1. *$H_2(M_i) \cong F_2$,*
2. *$\dim H_1(M_i) = \widehat{g} + g$.*

Proof. We consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of the pair (M_1, M_2),

$$0 \to H_3(M_1) \oplus H_3(M_2) \to H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_2(M_1 \cap M_2) \to H_2(M_1) \oplus H_2(M_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \dots$$

Since, M_1 and M_2 are compact manifolds with boundary, then $H_3(M_1) = 0 = H_3(M_2)$. On the other hand, $H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong F_2 \cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ and as $M_1 \cap M_2$ has two components, then $H_2(M_1 \cap M_2) \cong F_2^2$. Therefore, one has

$$\dim H_2(M_1) + \dim H_2(M_2) \leq 2. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, we consider the exact sequence of the pair $(M_i, \partial M_i)$,

$$0 \to H_3(M_i, \partial M_i) \to H_2(\partial M_i) \to H_2(M_i) \to H_2(M_i, \partial M_i). \tag{6}$$

Since, M_i is a 3-manifold with boundary, then $H_3(M_i, \partial M_i) \cong F_2$. Also ∂M_i has two components, and hence $H_2(\partial M_i) \cong F_2^2$. Therefore, by exactness

$$\dim H_2(M_i) \geq 1. \tag{7}$$

By (5) and (7), one concludes that

$$H_2(M_i) \cong F_2.$$

So, we obtain the following exact sequence from (6)

$$0 \to H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) \to H_1(\partial M_i) \to H_1(M_i) \to H_1(M_i, \partial M_i) \to \widetilde{H}_0(\partial M_i) \to 0.$$

Therefore, by exactness

$$\dim H_1(M_i) = \dim H_1(\partial M_i) - \dim H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) + \dim H_1(M_i, \partial M_i) - \dim \widetilde{H}_0(\partial M_i). \tag{8}$$

At this point, one needs to consider the following proposition, which follows from the universal coefficient theorem.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (X, A) be a pair of topological spaces, and let F be a field. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\beta : H^n(X, A; F) \to Hom_F(H_n(X, A; F), F) \cong H_n(X, A; F)^*$$

Since, $\partial M_i \cong \mathcal{T}_g \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{\hat{g}}$, then $\dim H_1(\partial M_i) = 2g + 2\hat{g}$ and $\widetilde{H}_0(\partial M_i) \cong F_2$. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2 and by the Poincaré duality, one has that

$$H_1(M_i, \partial M_i) \cong (H_2(M_i))^* \quad \text{and} \quad H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) \cong (H_1(M_i))^*.$$

In (8) one has

$$\dim H_1(M_i) = g + \hat{g}. \tag{9}$$

□

If $\mathcal{T}_g$ is separating, this embedding splits $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ in two submanifolds M_1 and M_2 such that:

$$\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 = M_1 \cup M_2 \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 \cap M_2 = \mathcal{T}_g.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be defined as above. Then*

1. $\dim H_2(M_1) + \dim H_2(M_2) \leq 1$,
2. *If $H_2(M_1) = 0$, then* $\dim H_1(M) = g$,
3. *If $H_2(M_1) \cong F_2$, then* $\dim H_1(M) = g + 1$.

Analogously for M_2.

Proof. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of M_1 and M_2.

$$0 \to H_3(M_1) \oplus H_3(M_2) \to H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_2(T_g^2) \to H_2(M_1) \oplus H_2(M_2) \to H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \tag{10}$$

Since, M_1 and M_2 are compact manifolds with boundary, then $H_3(M_1) = 0 = H_3(M_2)$. Also, $M_1 \cap M_2$ has one component, hence $H_2(M_1 \cap M_2) \cong F_2$. Therefore, by the exactness of sequence (10) one has

$$\dim H_2(M_1) + \dim H_2(M_2) \leq 1. \tag{11}$$

Hence, there are the following possibilities:

(a) either $H_2(M_1) = 0$ and $H_2(M_2) = 0$

(b) or $H_2(M_1) \cong F_2$ and $H_2(M_2) = 0$

(c) or $H_2(M_1) = 0$ and $H_2(M_2) \cong F_2$.

Now consider the exact sequence of the pair $(M_i, \partial M_i)$,

$$0 \to H_3(M_i, \partial M_i) \to H_2(\partial M_i) \to H_2(M_i) \to H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) \to \dots \tag{12}$$

Since, M_i is a 3-manifold with boundary $H_3(M_i, \partial M_i) \cong F_2$. Also, ∂M_i has one component, hence $H_2(\partial M_i) \cong F_2$. Therefore, $H_3(M_i, \partial M_i)$ is isomorphic to $H_2(\partial M_i)$. Thus, we can write the exact sequence (12) as

$$0 \to H_2(M_i) \to H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) \to H_1(\partial M_i) \to H_1(M_i) \to H_1(M_i, \partial M_i) \to 0$$

which implies that

$$2g = \dim H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) - \dim H_2(M_i) + \dim H_1(M_i) - \dim H_1(M_i, \partial M_i). \tag{13}$$

By Proposition 3.2 and by Poincaré duality, one has that

$$H_1(M_i, \partial M_i) \cong (H_2(M_i))^* \cong (H_2(M_i)) \quad \text{and} \quad H_2(M_i, \partial M_i) \cong (H_1(M_i))^* \cong (H_1(M_i)).$$

Hence, equation (13) can be written as

$$g = \dim H_1(M_i) - \dim H_2(M_i). \tag{14}$$

Now, one concludes the proof with a case analysis.

Case (a)**:** Suppose $H_2(M_1) = 0$ and $H_2(M_2) = 0$.
Hence, by equation (14) one has for $i = 1, 2$

$$g = \dim H_1(M_i).$$

Case (b)**:** Suppose $H_2(M_1) \cong F_2$ and $H_2(M_2) = 0$.

(i) By the prior case, if $H_2(M_2) = 0$ then $H_1(M_2) \cong F_2^g$ hence, $\dim H_1(M_2) = g$

(ii) In the case $H_2(M_1) \cong F_2$, equation (14) is written as
$g = \dim H_1(M_1) - 1$,
which implies that $\dim H_1(M_1) = g + 1$.

Case (c)**:** Suppose $H_2(M_1) = 0$ and $H_2(M_2) \cong F_2$.
In a similar fashion to the previous case one has that

(i) if $H_2(M_1) = 0$, then $\dim H_1(M_1) = g$,

(ii) if $H_2(M_2) \cong F_2$, then $\dim H_1(M_2) = g + 1$.

□

Definition 3.2. *A manifold M^3 is of **handlebody type** whenever ∂M^3 is homeomorphic to a closed and orientable surface of genus g, for some $g \geq 0$ and verifies*

$$H_2(M^3) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \dim H_1(M^3) = g.$$

The positive number g is defined as the genus of the manifold of handlebody type.

Remark 3.1. *By inequality* (11) *and Lemma 3.3, at least one of M_1 or M_2 is of handlebody type.*

Lemma 3.4. *With the above notation, M_2 is not a manifold of handlebody type if and only if*

$$H_2(M_2) \cong F_2.$$

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) By inequality (11) one has that $\dim H_2(M_2) \leq 1$, hence we have two possibilities. If $H_2(M_2) = 0$, by Case (b) in the proof of Lemma 3.3, it follows that $\dim H_1(M_2) = g$. Hence, M_2 is of handlebody type contradicting the hypothesis. Therefore, $H_2(M_1) \cong F_2$.

($\Leftarrow$) Since, $H_2(M_2) \cong F_2$ then by definition M_2 is not of handlebody type. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let N be a 3-submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, such that N is of handlebody type and ∂N is a π_1-trivial surface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Then the inclusion $j : N \to \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ induces a homomorphism*

$$j_* : H_1(N) \to H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$$

which is trivial.

Proof. Consider the following diagram



where i, j and k are inclusions. These functions induce the following diagram.



Since, ∂N is π_1-trivial, then k_* is trivial. Thus, in order to prove that j_* is trivial, it is only necessary to prove that i_* is surjective. Now, consider the exact homology sequence of the pair $(N, \partial N)$,

$$0 \to H_2(N, \partial N) \to H_1(\partial N) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_1(N) \to H_1(N, \partial N) \to \dots$$

By Proposition 3.2 and the Poincaré duality, one has

$$H_1(N, \partial N) \cong (H_2(N))^*.$$

On the other hand, one has that $H_2(N) = 0$. Hence the lemma follows.

□

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{T}_g$ be a separating connected component of a regular level set associated to some Smale flow ϕ_t on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Let M_1 and M_2 be the closure of the two connected components of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - \mathcal{T}_g$. Hence,*

1. *if $\mathcal{T}_g$ is π_1-trivial in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, then either M_1 or M_2 is of handlebody type;*
2. *if $\mathcal{T}_g$ is π_1-non-trivial in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, then M_1 and M_2 are of handlebody type.*

Proof. 1. It follows by Remark 3.1, that at least one of the submanifolds M_1 or M_2 is of handlebody type. Suppose that, M_1 is a manifold of handlebody type. By Lefschetz Duality, one has that

$$H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, M_1) \cong H_2(M_2). \tag{15}$$

Now, consider the homology exact sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, M_1)$,

$$\to H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, M_1) \to H_1(M_1) \xrightarrow{\eta} H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, M_1) \to 0.$$

Since, $\mathcal{T}_g$ is π_1-trivial in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, by Lemma 3.5, one has that η is equal to zero. Therefore, $H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, M_1)$ and by (15) one has that

$$H_2(M_2) \cong F_2.$$

The result now follows by Lemma 3.4.

2. If $\mathcal{T}_g$ is π_1-non-trivial in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ there exists a simple closed curve β in $\mathcal{T}_g$ such that $[\beta] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ is non-trivial. Now consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact homology sequence.

$$0 \to H_2(M_1) \oplus H_2(M_2) \to H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H_1(X \cap Y) \to \tag{16}$$

One has that Δ is non zero, otherwise we would have that the image of Δ in $H_1(\mathcal{T}_g)$ is homologous to a curve γ that separates $\mathcal{T}_g$ and the intersection number of β and γ would be zero, since $[\gamma] = 0$ in $\mathcal{T}_g$. However, since this intersection is $n \neq 0$, we have a contradiction and hence, Δ is not zero. Thus, by (16) one has that $H_2(M_1)$ and $H_2(M_2)$ are zero. Thus M_1 and M_2 are of handlebody type. □

4 Manifolds of Handlebody Type and Lyapunov Graphs

In this section, we study the relation between Lyapunov graphs and manifolds of handlebody type. Let ϕ_t be a Smale flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with Lyapunov function f and let L be the associated Lyapunov graph. Suppose L is a tree. Choose a regular level set which is a separating surface $\mathcal{T}_g$ which splits $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ in two 3-submanifolds, $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ and $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_g}$, and such that the flow is transversal and outward going on $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ and inward going on $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_g}$.

Remark 4.1. *By Lemma* 3.6 *one has that:*

- *if $\mathcal{T}_g$ is π_1-trivial, then either $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ or $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ is of handlebody type;*
- *if $\mathcal{T}_g$ is π_1-non-trivial, then $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ and $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ are of handlebody type;*
- *if we cut $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ along a separating regular level set, this corresponds to disconnecting the graph L into two subgraphs with dangling edges that represent each one of the manifolds $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_g}$ and $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$.*

Proposition 4.1. *Let u be a vertex in L and let e be an incoming edge incident to u. Suppose that there exists a vertex v labelled with a basic set Λ such that Λ is contained in $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$. Then there exists an incoming (outgoing) edge with weight $\widehat{g}$ of v such that $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}}$ ($\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}}$) is contained in $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$.*

Proof. Since L is a tree, there exists an incoming or outcoming edge e_1 (with weight $\widehat{g}$) of v such that cutting along this edge separates u and v in distinct subgraphs. First suppose that e_1 is an incoming edge incident to v. Hence, if we cut $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ along $\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}$ one has $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}} \subset \mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$. On the other hand, if e_1 is an outgoing edge incident to v, $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{g}}} \subset \mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_g}$. □

We have a similar result if the edge e incident to u is outgoing. In what follows other interesting properties of L are determined. Given a vertex v of the graph L labelled with a basic set Λ_v, each edge point represents a regular level set which is surface of genus g_i^+ (g_j^-) embedded in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{g_i^+}$ ($\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}$). The incoming (outgoing) edges are labelled with weights corresponding to g_i^+'s (g_i^-)'s.

Corollary 4.1. *Let v be a vertex on L and with the above notation.*

1. *For $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, e_v^-\}$ fixed, one has*

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_k^+}} \subset \mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\alpha^-}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}} \subset \mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\alpha^-}}$$

with $k \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\} - \{\alpha\}$.

2. *For $\beta \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\}$ fixed, one has*

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_k^+}} \subset \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\beta^+}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}} \subset \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\beta^+}}$$

with $k \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\} - \{\beta\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\}$.

Proof. 1. Since $\mathcal{T}_{g_\alpha^-}$ corresponds to an outgoing edge incident to v, let u be the vertex on which the edge is incoming. Note that each $\mathcal{T}_{g_k^+}$ corresponds to an outgoing edge incident to vertices v_k that are incoming and incident to v. Hence, by the Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_k^+}} \subset \mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\alpha^-}}.$$

Analogously, each $\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}$ corresponds to an outgoing edge incident to vertices v_j and by Lemma 3.6 it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}} \subset \mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\alpha^-}}.$$

2. To prove 2, it suffices to reverse the orientation on the graph.

□

Corollary 4.2. *Let v be a vertex of L and with the notation above, one has*

1. *if $\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\alpha^-}}$ is of handlebody type for $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\}$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_k^+}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}}$$

are of handlebody type for $k \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\} - \{\alpha\}$;

2. *if $\mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_\beta^+}}$ is of handlebody type for $\beta \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\}$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\mathcal{T}_{g_k^+}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}^+_{\mathcal{T}_{g_j^-}}$$

are of handlebody type for $k \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\} - \{\beta\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\}$.

Proof. This follows directly from Remark 4.1, of Corollary 4.1 and from the fact that a submanifold with a surface boundary of a manifold of handlebody type is of handlebody type. □

5 Necessary Conditions on Lyapunov Graphs associated to Smale Flows

In this section we will prove a series of propositions that will comprise the proof of the necessity of the conditions stated in the main theorem of this work, Theorem 1.1.

Let ϕ_t be a Smale flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with Lyapunov function $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$. Let Λ be a basic set of ϕ_t and $f(\Lambda) = c$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small so that c is the only critical value in $[c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon]$. Let $X_0 = f^{-1}(-\infty, c + \epsilon]$ and $Z_0 = f^{-1}(-\infty, c - \epsilon]$.

5.1 Lyapunov Graph with a Cycle

Let U be the closure of the component of $X_0 - Z_0$ which contains Λ. Then U is a neighborhood of Λ whose boundary consists of closed orientable surfaces to which the flow is transverse. The flow enters e^+ of these surfaces and exits the remaining e^-.

Proposition 5.1. *Let L be a Lyapunov graph associated with a Smale flow ϕ_t and a Lyapunov function on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, such that $\beta(L) = 1$. Let v be a vertex of L on the cycle, labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type and $A_{m\times m}$ is the non-negative integer matrix representing this subshift. Let $k = \dim \ker \overline{I - A} : F_2^m \to F_2^m$ where $\overline{I - A}$ is the $\bmod 2$ reduction of $I - A$. Then, if e^+ and e^- are respectively the indegree and outdegree of v, one has:*

$$\begin{gathered} e^+, e^- > 0, \\ e^+ \leq k + 1, \\ e^- \leq k + 1, \\ k + 1 - G^- \leq e^+, \text{ with } \quad G^- = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^- \quad \text{and} \\ k + 1 - G^+ \leq e^-, \text{ with } \quad G^+ = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{e^+} g_j^+. \end{gathered}$$

Where g_i^+'s (g_j^-)'s are the weights on the incoming (outgoing) edges incident to the vertex v.

Proof. Suppose Λ is the basic set of ϕ_t corresponding to v. First of all, suppose that both edges of the cycle are incoming edges incident to the vertex v, as shown in Figure 1. Let Z be the union of the



Figure 1: v is a vertex in the cycle.

components of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - (\partial U \cap Z_0)$ which do not contain Λ. By Lemma 3.6, each component of Z is a manifold of handlebody type. Now we call $X = Z \cup U$ and $Y = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X$. Suppose Y_1 is a component of Y such that it corresponds to the cycle on the graph. Therefore, by the Lemma 3.2 one has

$$\dim H_1(Y_1) = g_1^+ + g_2^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \dim H_2(Y_1) = 1. \tag{17}$$

and any other component of Y is of handlebody type. On the other hand a result (9.11) of [9] says that $H_2(X, Z)$ has dimension k. We consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (X, Z),

$$H_3(X, Z) \to H_2(Z) \to H_2(X) \to H_2(X, Z) \to H_1(Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(X) \to \dots \tag{18}$$

Since, $H_3(X, Y) = 0$ and $H_2(Z) = 0$, this implies that

$$\dim H_2(X) \leq \dim H_2(X, Z) = k. \tag{19}$$

On other hand, we consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact homology sequence,

$$H_3(X) \oplus H_3(Y) \to H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_2(X \cap Y) \to H_2(X) \oplus H_2(Y) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \dots \tag{20}$$

Both X and Y are compact 3−manifolds with boundary, so $H_3(X) = 0 = H_3(Y)$. Also, $X \cap Y$ is composed of e^+ closed orientable surfaces, so $H_2(X \cap Y) \cong F_2^{e^+}$. On the other hand, we know that

$H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong F_2 \cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ contains a non separating regular level set. By Lemma 3.1, it follows that β is surjective. Therefore,

$$\dim H_2(X) = e^+ - 1$$

By inequality (19), one has

$$e^+ \leq k + 1.$$

If $a = \dim \operatorname{Im} \alpha$, from the exact sequence (18), one has

$$k = e^+ - 1 + G^- - a.$$

Hence,

$$k + 1 - G^- \leq e^+. \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, U satisfies the Poincaré-Hopf condition (4) and thus,

$$e^+ + G^- = e^- + G^+.$$

By inequality (21), one has

$$k + 1 - G^+ \leq e^-.$$

Now for the last inequality, we need to consider the reverse flow which switches the roles of e^+ and e^- and transform A to A^t so k is unchanged.

We call $W = U \cup Y$ and in this case the flow exits U through Y. We consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (W, Y),

$$\to H_3(W, Y) \to H_2(Y) \to H_2(W) \to H_2(W, Y) \to \dots \tag{22}$$

By Theorem 2.3, one has $H_3(W, Y) = 0$ and $H_2(Y) \cong F_2$. Therefore, by sequence (22)

$$\dim H_2(W) \leq k + 1. \tag{23}$$

Now, consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact homology sequence,

$$\to H_3(W) \oplus H_3(Z) \to H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_2(W \cap Z) \to H_2(W) \oplus H_2(Z) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \dots \tag{24}$$

Both W and Z are compact 3-manifolds with boundary, so $H_3(W) = 0 = H_3(Z)$. $W \cap Z$ is composed of e^- closed orientable surfaces, hence $H_2(X \cap Y) \cong F_2^{e^-}$. Since the submanifold W contains a non separating regular level set by Lemma 3.1, γ is surjective. Therefore, $\dim H_2(W) = e^-$ and by inequality (23) one has,

$$e^- \leq k + 1.$$

The proof is complete in this case.

Now, suppose that an edge on the cycle is incoming and incident to v and another edge is outgoing and incident to v, as shown in Figure 2. We have that $\partial U \cap Z_0$ is a union of surfaces and only one of these is non separating and corresponds to the outgoing edge incident to v on the cycle. We call Z_1 the connected component of Z_0 that contains the non separating surface aforementioned.

Let Z be the union of Z_1 with the components of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - (\partial U \cap (Z_0 - Z_1))$ which do not contain Λ. By Lemma 3.6, each component of $Z - Z_1$ is a manifold of handlebody type. Now we define $X = Z \cup U$ and $Y = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X$.

We call Y_1 the connected component of Y corresponding to the to the cycle. By Lemma 3.6 one has that each component of $(Y - Y_1)$ is a manifold of handlebody type. Note that, $Z \cap Y$ is a closed orientable surface of genus g. Moreover, by Lemma 3.1 one has $H_2(Z) \cong F_2$ and $\dim H_1(Z) = \sum g_i^- + g$. With this notation, the result (9.11) of [9] says that $H_2(X, Z)$ has dimension k.

Now, consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (X, Z),

$$\to H_3(X, Z) \to H_2(Z) \to H_2(X) \to H_2(X, Z) \to H_1(Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(X) \to \dots \tag{25}$$



Figure 2: v is a vertex in the cycle.

Since, $H_3(X,Y)=0$ and $H_2(Z)\cong F_2$, this implies

$$\dim H_2(X)\leq k+1. \tag{26}$$

Consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact homology sequence,

$$0\to H_3(\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)\to H_2(X\cap Y)\to H_2(X)\oplus H_2(Y)\xrightarrow{\beta} H_2(\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)\to\dots \tag{27}$$

Since, $X\cap Y$ is composed of e^++1 closed orientable surfaces, hence $H_2(X\cap Y)\cong F_2^{e^++1}$. In addition, we know that $H_3(\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)\cong F_2\cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)$. Furthermore, there exists a non separating regular level set contained in Y. By Lemma 3.1, β is surjective. Therefore,

$$\dim H_2(X)=e^+.$$

By inequality (26), one has

$$e^+\leq k+1.$$

On the other hand, as β in (27) is surjective, one has

$$0\to H_1(X\cap Y)\to H_1(X)\oplus H_1(Y)\to H_1(\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)\to H_0(X\cap Y)\to H_0(X)\oplus H_0(Y)\to H_0(\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1)\to 0$$

Since $\dim H_1(X\cap Y)=2G^++2g$, $\dim H_1(Y)=G^++g$, $\dim H_0(X\cap Y)=e^++1$ and $\dim H_0(Y)=e^+$, hence

$$\dim H_1(X)=G^++g$$

Since $\partial X\cap\partial Z$ is a genus g surface, then $H_1(X)$ and $H_1(Z)$ both have a F_2^g summand that comes from this surface. Then $\dim \operatorname{Im}\alpha\geq g$. Also, if $a=\dim\operatorname{Im}\alpha$, from the exact sequence (25), one has

$$k=e^+-1+\sum g_i^-+g-a.$$

as $g-a\leq 0$, hence

$$k+1-G^-\leq e^+. \tag{28}$$

Moreover, U satisfies the Poincaré-Hopf condition (4) and thus,

$$e^++G^-=e^-+G^+.$$

By inequality (28), one has

$$k+1-G^+\leq e^-.$$

Now for the last inequality, we consider the reverse flow which switches the roles of e^+ and e^- and transforms A to A^t so that k remains unchanged. □

Note that by Lemma 3.6 every other component of the chain recurrent set that corresponds to a vertex which is not on the cycle is inside a manifold of handlebody type and all separating regular level sets are π_1-trivial. In this context we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let L be a Lyapunov graph associated with a Smale flow ϕ_t and a Lyapunov function on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Let v be a vertex of L labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type and $A_{m\times m}$ is the non-negative integer matrix representing this subshift and $k = \dim \ker \overline{I - A} : F_2^m \to F_2^m$ where $\overline{I - A}$ is the* $\bmod 2$ *reduction of $I - A$. Let e^+ and e^- be respectively the indegree and outdegree of v. If v represents a basic set, which is contained in some manifold of handlebody type M in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, one has:*

$$\begin{gathered} e^+, e^- > 0, \\ e^+ \leq k+1, \\ e^- \leq k+1, \\ k+1-G^- \leq e^+, \text{ with } \quad G^- = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^- \quad \text{and} \\ k+1-G^+ \leq e^-, \text{ with } \quad G^+ = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{e^+} g_j^+, \end{gathered}$$

where g_i^+'s (g_j^-)'s are the weights on the incoming (outgoing) edges incident to the vertex v.

Proof. By Remark 3.1, we can suppose that the component Y_1 is not a manifold of handlebody type. Then by Corollary 4.2 the other components of Y and all components of Z are manifolds of handlebody type. In other words $H_2(Y) \cong F_2$, $H_2(Z) = 0$ and $\dim H_1(Z) = G^-$.
Consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (X, Z),

$$\to H_3(X,Z) \to H_2(Z) \to H_2(X) \to H_2(X,Z) \to H_1(Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(X) \to \dots \tag{29}$$

Since, $H_3(X, Z) = 0$ and $H_2(Z) = 0$, this implies that

$$\dim H_2(X) \leq \dim H_2(X,Z) = k. \tag{30}$$

Now consider the exact homology reduced sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y)$,

$$\to \widetilde{H}_1(Y) \to \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \to \widetilde{H}_0(Y) \to \widetilde{H}_0(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$$

Since, $\widetilde{H}_0(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = 0$ and $\dim(\widetilde{H}_0(Y)) = e^+ - 1$, we conclude that

$$\dim \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \geq e^+ - 1.$$

Moreover, by Lefschetz duality $H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - Y, \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H_2(X)$. Therefore,

$$\dim H_2(X) \geq e^+ - 1 \tag{31}$$

and from inequality (30), one has

$$e^+ \leq k+1$$

Now we consider the Mayer-Vietoris exact homology sequence,

$$0 \to H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_2(X \cap Y) \to H_2(X) \oplus H_2(Y) \to H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \dots$$

Since, $X \cap Y$ is composed of e^+ closed orientable surfaces, one has that $H_2(X \cap Y) \cong F_2^{e^+}$. Moreover, we know that $H_3(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong F_2 \cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)$. Therefore,

$$\dim H_2(X) \leq e^+ - 1. \tag{32}$$

By inequalities (31) and (32), one concludes that

$$\dim H_2(X) = e^+ - 1.$$

If $a = \dim \operatorname{Im} \alpha$, from exact sequence (29), one has that

$$k = \dim H_2(X) + G^- - a.$$

Hence,

$$k + 1 - G^- \leq e^+. \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, U satisfies the Poincaré-Hopf condition (4) and thus,

$$e^+ + G^- = e^- + G^+.$$

Thus,

$$k + 1 - G^+ \leq e^-.$$

Now for the last inequality, we need to consider the reverse flow which switches the roles of e^+ and e^- and transform A to A^t so that k is unchanged. In this case, the flow enters U through Z and exits through Y. We call $W = Y \cup U$ and we consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (W, Y),

$$\to H_3(W, Y) \to H_2(Y) \to H_2(W) \to H_2(W, Y) \to \dots. \tag{34}$$

By Theorem 2.3, one has $H_3(W, Y) = 0$ and $H_2(Y) \cong F_2$. Therefore, by sequence (34)

$$\dim H_2(W) \leq k + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim H_2(W) \geq 1. \tag{35}$$

Now consider the exact sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Z)$ and by Lemma 3.5 one has $\dim H_2(W) = e^-$. It follows from (35) that

$$e^- \leq k + 1.$$

□

5.2 Lyapunov Graph without Cycles

We continue to use the notation established in the beginning of this section. Let U be the closure of the component of $X_0 - Z_0$ which contains Λ. Then U is a neighborhood of Λ whose boundary consists of closed orientable surfaces. Also, the flow is transverse to the boundary of U. The flow enters e^+ of these surfaces and exits the remaining e^- surfaces. Let Z be the union of the components of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - (\partial U \cap Z_0)$ which do not contain Λ. Now define $X = Z \cup U$ and $Y = \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X$. With this notation, the result (9.11) of [9] says that $H_2(X, Z)$ has dimension k.

First of all, suppose that some component of ∂U^- is a π_1-non-trivial regular level set. Furthermore, we can suppose that ∂Z_1 is also a π_1-non-trivial regular level set. By Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 4.2, one has that each component of Z and each component of Y are manifolds of handlebody type.

Proposition 5.3. *Let L be a Lyapunov graph associated with a Smale flow ϕ_t and a Lyapunov function on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, such that L is a tree. Furthermore, suppose there exists a π_1-non-trivial regular level set. Let v be a vertex of L labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type and $A_{m\times m}$ is the non-negative integer matrix representing this subshift. Let $k = \dim \ker \overline{I - A} : F_2^m \to F_2^m$ where $\overline{I - A}$ is the $\bmod 2$ reduction of $I - A$. Then, if e^+ and e^- are respectively the indegree and outdegree of v, one has:*

$$\begin{gathered} e^+, e^- > 0, \\ e^+ \leq k + 1, \\ e^- \leq k + 1, \\ k + 1 - G^- \leq e^+, \text{ with } \quad G^- = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^- \quad \text{and} \\ k + 1 - G^+ \leq e^-, \text{ with } \quad G^+ = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{e^+} g_j^+, \end{gathered}$$

where g_i^+'s (g_j^-)'s are the weights on the incoming (outgoing) edges incident to the vertex v.

Proof. Suppose Λ is the basic set of ϕ_t corresponding to v. Consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (X, Z),

$$\to H_3(X, Z) \to H_2(Z) \to H_2(X) \to H_2(X, Z) \to H_1(Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(X) \to \dots \tag{36}$$

Since, $H_3(X, Y) = 0$ and $H_2(Z) = 0$, this implies that

$$\dim H_2(X) \leq k. \tag{37}$$

Now consider the exact homology reduced sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y)$,

$$\to \widetilde{H}_1(Y) \to \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \to \widetilde{H}_0(Y) \to \widetilde{H}_0(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to 0 \tag{38}$$

Since, $\widetilde{H}_0(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = 0$ and $\dim(\widetilde{H}_0(Y)) = e^+ - 1$, we conclude that

$$\dim \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \geq e^+ - 1.$$

Moreover, by Lefschetz duality $H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - Y, \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H_2(X)$. Therefore, $\dim H_2(X) \geq e^+ - 1$ and from inequality (37), one has

$$e^+ \leq k + 1$$

Also, from sequence (38), we have that

$$\dim H_2(X) \leq e^+ - 1 + \dim H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = e^+. \tag{39}$$

If $a = \dim \operatorname{Im} \alpha$, from the exact sequence (36), one has

$$k = \dim H_2(X) + G^- - a. \tag{40}$$

The hypothesis that there exits a π_1-non-trivial regular level set in Z, implies that $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \neq 0$. Therefore, from inequality (40) we can conclude that

$$k < \dim H_2(X) + G^-. \tag{41}$$

Now by inequality (39), one has that

$$k + 1 - G^- \leq e^+.$$

On the other hand, U satisfies the Poincaré-Hopf condition (4) and thus,

$$e^+ + G^- = e^- + G^+.$$

Hence, one has

$$k + 1 - G^+ \leq e^-.$$

Now for the last inequality, we need to consider the reverse flow which switches the roles of e^+ and e^- and transforms A to A^t so that k is unchanged.

For the general case, we can suppose that a π_1-non-trivial regular level set is contained in some component of Z. Then it suffices to repeat the arguments in the above proof. □

Now we suppose that each connected component of a regular level set is π_1-trivial in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Let $k = \dim \ker \overline{I - A} : F_2^m \to F_2^m$ where $\overline{I - A}$ is the $\bmod 2$ reduction of $I - A$ and e^+ (e^-) is the indegree (outdegree) of v. With the definition of X, Y and Z as defined in the beginning of Subsection 5.2, one has the following lemma.

Proposition 5.4. *Let v be a vertex of L labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type and $A_{m\times m}$ is the non-negative integer matrix representing this subshift. Suppose each component of Y and Z are manifolds of handlebody type then one has:*

$$\begin{gathered} e^+, e^- > 0, \\ e^+ \leq k, \\ e^- \leq k, \\ k - G^- \leq e^+, \textit{ with } \quad G^- = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^- \quad \textit{and} \\ k - G^+ \leq e^-, \textit{ with } \quad G^+ = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{e^+} g_\cdot^+ \end{gathered}$$

where g_i^+'s (g_j^-)'s are the weights on the incoming (outgoing) edges incident to v.

Proof. Since, Z and Y are disjoint unions of manifolds of handlebody type, one has that $H_2(Z) = 0 = H_2(Y)$, $\dim H_1(Z) = G^-$ and $\dim H_1(Y) = G^+$. Now consider the exact homology sequence of the pair (X, Z),

$$\to H_3(X, Z) \to H_2(Z) \to H_2(X) \to H_2(X, Z) \to H_1(Z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(X) \to \dots \tag{42}$$

Since $H_3(X, Z) = 0$ and $H_2(Z) = 0$, one has

$$\dim H_2(X) \leq k. \tag{43}$$

Consider the reduced exact homology sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y)$,

$$\to H_1(Y) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \to H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \to \widetilde{H}_0(Y) \to 0. \tag{44}$$

By Lemma 3.5 one has that β is trivial and $\dim \widetilde{H}_0(Y) = e^- + 1$. Thus, by sequence (44), one has

$$\dim \widetilde{H}_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) = e^+.$$

Using Lefschetz duality $H_1(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, Y) \cong H_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - Y, \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H_2(X)$. Therefore, $\dim H_2(X) = e^+$ and from equality (43), one has

$$e^+ \leq k.$$

On the other hand, if $a = \dim \operatorname{Im} \alpha$ one has from sequence (42),

$$k = \dim H_2(X) + \dim H_1(Z) - a.$$

Therefore,

$$k - G^- \leq e^+$$

Furthermore, U satisfies the Poincaré-Hopf condition (4) and thus,

$$e^+ + G^- = e^- + G^+.$$

Hence, one has

$$k - G^+ \leq e^-.$$

Now for the last inequality, we need to consider the reverse flow which switches the roles of e^+ and e^- and transforms A to A^t so that k is unchanged. □

Proof of the necessity of the conditions of Theorem 1.1.

1. items (1) and (2) follow from Corollary 3.1 in [8];
2. item (4) follows by Theorem 4.7 in [6];
3. item (3) follows from Proposition 2.1;
4. item 3(a) follows from Propositions 5.1 and 5.2;
5. item 3(b)(i) follows from Propositions 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4;
6. item 3(b)(ii),
   if there exists a regular level set $\mathcal{T}_g$ which is π_1-non-trivial, then clearly $g \neq 0$. Otherwise, if each regular level set is π_1-trivial, by the use of Lemma 3.6 on the edges of the graph we obtain that there exists a vertex v_0 labelled with a suspension of a subshift of finite type associated to the non-negative integer matrix $A_{m\times m}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^+, e^- > 0, \\
e^+ \leq k, \\
e^- \leq k, \\
k - G^- \leq e^+, \text{ with } \quad G^- = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{e^-} g_i^- \quad \text{and} \\
k - G^+ \leq e^-, \text{ with } \quad G^+ = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{e^+} g_j^+,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k = \dim \ker ((I - B) : F_2^m \to F_2^m)$, $F_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $b_{ij} = a_{ij} \bmod 2$ and $g_i^+ (g_j^-)$ are the weights on the incoming (outgoing) edges incident to the vertex v_0. By hypothesis,

$$
k - G^- < k + 1 - G^- \leq e^- \leq k
$$

so $k - G^- < k$, that is $G^- > 0$ thus there is at least one $g_j^- > 0$. Similarly, there is at least one $g_k^+ > 0$.

□

6 Sufficient Conditions on Abstract Lyapunov Graphs associated to Smale Flows

In this section we prove propositions that will combine in order to form the proof of the sufficiency of the conditions stated in the main theorem of this work, Theorem 1.1. In [7] the construction of basic block for singularities, periodic orbits and subshifts of finite type that verify the conditions in Theorem 2.4 are presented. Hence, there is no need to present thes herein. In what follows, we present a construction of a basic block for a vertex v that satisfies the condition of Proposition 5.4. For that, we need some definitions.

Definition 6.1. *Let C_1 and C_2 be solid concentric cylinders with $C_2 \subset C_1$. A **round handle** R is a $3-$manifold homeomorphic to $C_1 - C_2$ containing a saddle type periodic orbit of period equal to one and with a flow defined on R as follows: the flow enters two disjoint boundary components of R homeomorphic to annuli and exits two other disjoint boundary components also homeomorphic to annuli. See Figure 3*



Figure 3: Round handle.

Definition 6.2. *A one-handle H_i to a strip $D^1 \times D^1$ and is transversal to the flow. A one-handle H_s in a round handle R_s will be chosen so that $H_s \times \mathbb{S}^1 = R_s$. A one-handle H_t in a nilpotent handle N_t will be chosen so that $H_t \times D^1 = N_t$.*

Definition 6.3. *A **basic block** for a one-dimensional set Λ of a Smale flow ϕ_t on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and Lyapunov function $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f(\Lambda) = c$ is the component of $X = f^{-1}([c - \epsilon, c - \epsilon])$ which contain Λ, where $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen so that X contains on other basic set and*

1. *there exists (not necessarily connected) codimension one submanifolds with boundary U and V in X with $U \subset V$ is everyehere transversal to the flow.*

2. *The first return map $\mu : U \to \operatorname{int} V$ is a well defined smooth map and there is a hyperbolic handle set $H \subset U$ with every orbit of Λ intersecting H and every $h_i \subset H$ intersecting Λ.*

3. *if $x \in H$ but $r(x) \notin H$ then $\phi_t(x) \cap H = \emptyset \ \forall t > 0$ and $f(\phi_{t_0}(x)) = c - \epsilon$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Likewise, if $x \in H$ but $r^{-1}(x) \notin H$ then $\phi_t(x) \cap H = \emptyset \ \forall t < 0$ and $f(\phi_{t_0}(x)) = c + \epsilon$ for some $t_0 < 0$.*

In the following construction, we build specific Smale flows on handlebodies which are transverse to its boundary. This construction will be very important for the next subsection. There exists a Smale flow on a handlebody H_g of genus g, with g attracting periodic orbits λ_{a_i}, $g-1$ saddle periodic orbits λ_{s_i} and $g-1$ repelling singularities p_i. H_g is obtained by gluing $g-1$ round handles to g solid tori as shown in Figure 4. The flow on H_g is induced by the periodic orbits and singularities and will be denoted by ρ_t.



Figure 4: H_3 is a handlebody of genus 3.

Since the flow is transversal and points inward on ∂H_g, one has

$$\epsilon = d(\partial H_g, \rho_1(\partial H_g)) > 0.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let S be a surface homeomorphic to $D^1 \times D^1$ embedded in a tubular $\epsilon/2$-neighborhood of the boundary of handlebody, such that the flow described above is transversal to S. Then there exists a neighborhood V of S such that the first return map, $\mu : S \to V$, is smooth.*

Proof. Suppose that S is embedded in some round handle R_j with periodic orbit λ_{s_j}. Hence, one can extend S to a surface V_S, which is homeomorphic to $D^1 \times D^1$ and has transversal intersection with λ_{s_j}, as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: The rectangle S is the interior of $\partial H_g \times I$.

Therefore, V_S is a cross section of λ_{s_j}, so the first return map μ_S is defined for V_S and is smooth. Now if it is necessary one can extend V_S, such that V_S contains $\mu_S(S)$. On the other hand, since λ_{s_j} has an index 1 periodic orbit, one has that $\mu_S(S)$ does not intersect λ_{s_j}. Hence, one can find a surface $V \subset V_S$ such that V contains S and $\mu_S(S)$ and does not intersect with λ_{s_j}. See Figure 5. Now, suppose that S is contained in some neighborhood of a attracting periodic orbit λ_{a_i}, one proceeds in a similar way. For the general case, one can choose a smaller neighborhood contained in S and argue as above. □

Given a handlebody M, we consider a flow on M as described above, and the restriction of this flow to the tubular neighborhood of ∂M. Note that, the tubular neighborhood of ∂M is homeomorphic to the collaring of the boundary, $\partial M \times I$, where I is a closed interval. For this reason we refer to the tubular neighborhood of ∂M as the collaring of ∂M. In [7] there is a description of distinct ways of gluing a round handle R to a collaring of ∂M.

In [7] three types of gluing of round handles, to a collaring of ∂M are presented. See Figure 6. In the next subsection we construct a special round handle that can not be realized in $\mathbb{S}^3$. Subsequently, we make use of this special round handle to construct special basic blocks which consequently are also not realizable in $\mathbb{S}^3$.



(a) Connecting decreasing handle

(b) Invanriant handle

(c) Connecting invanriant handle

Figure 6: Distinct gluings of round handles.

6.1 Special Round Handle in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$

Due to the topology of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ there is another case to consider. In order to describe it, we build a special round handle R_1 in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Start with a non separating sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and remove two disjoint disk D_1 and D_2. Now consider a product of $\mathbb{S}^2 - (D_1 \sqcup D_2)$ with an interval I. This manifold is a 3-manifold, R_1, such that the boundary ∂R_1 is composed by four annuli. One can put a saddle periodic orbit inside of R_1, as shown in Figure 7.

Let N be a compact, connected 3-manifold with nonempty and connected boundary which is inside a 3-ball in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. In the boundary of N, ∂N consider two disjoint disks D_1 and D_2 and inside each one of them other smaller disks $\overline{D}_1 \subset D_1$ and $\overline{D}_2 \subset D_2$ such that the annuli $A_1 = \overline{D_1 - \overline{D}_1}$ and $B_1 = \overline{D_2 - \overline{D}_2}$ have boundaries $\alpha_1' = \partial D_1$, $\alpha_2' = \partial \overline{D}_1$, $\beta_1' = \overline{D}_2$ and $\beta_2' = \partial \overline{D}_2$. A round handle R is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [a,b] \times [c,d]$. Consider the following circles $\beta_1 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{a\} \times \{c\}$, $\beta_2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{b\} \times \{c\}$, $\alpha_1 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{a\} \times \{d\}$ and $\alpha_2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{b\} \times \{d\}$. Also consider the annuli $A = \mathbb{S}^1 \times [a,b] \times \{d\}$, $B = \mathbb{S}^1 \times [a,b] \times \{c\}$,



Figure 7: Special type of round handle in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

$E = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{a\} \times [c,d]$ and $F = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{b\} \times [c,d]$. With this decomposition we now describe the special gluing of R_1 to the 3-manifold N. The annulus A will be glued to A_1 and B to B_1 in such a way that α_1 is identified to α_2' and α_2 is identified to α_1'. Also, β_1 is identified to β_1' and β_2 is identified to β_2'. See Figure 8.



Figure 8: Special gluing of a round handle in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Lemma 6.1. *With the notation above, $\partial(N \cup R_1)$ is homeomorphic to ∂N.*

Proof. We have that

$$\partial N = \overline{D}_2 \cup_{\beta_2'} B_1 \cup_{\beta_1'} C \cup_{\alpha_1'} A_1 \cup_{\alpha_2'} \overline{D}_1$$

where $C = \overline{\partial N - (D_1 \cup D_2)}$. On the other hand, one has

$$\partial(N \cup R_1) = \overline{D}_1 \cup_{\alpha_1 = \alpha_1'} E \cup_{\beta_1 = \beta_1'} C \cup_{\alpha_2 = \alpha_2'} F \cup_{\beta_2 = \beta_2'} \overline{D}_2$$

Note that both $\overline{D}_1 \cup E$ and $F \cup \overline{D}_2$ are disks. Hence, ∂N is homeomorphic to $\partial(N \cup R_1)$. □

Corollary 6.1. *Let N be a handlebody of genus g embedded in a 3-ball in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Let*

$$X = N \cup R_1$$

as described above, then $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X$ is homeomorphic to a handlebody of genus g.

Proof. Recalling the construction of R_1, we have that

$$R_1 = \overline{\mathbb{S}^2 - (D_1^2 \cup D_2^2)} \times I$$

where $\mathbb{S}^2$ is a non separating sphere. The annuli $\partial D_1^2 \times I$ and $\partial D_2^2 \times I$ are the gluing regions. For the case of a genus zero handlebody, a 3-disk should be glued to the above annuli using two 2-disks on the boundary of the 3-disk, as shown in the Figure 9.



Figure 9: The special round handle R_1 glues to B^3.

Note that the final manifold is isotopic to a tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^2_a \vee \mathbb{S}^1_b$, where $\mathbb{S}^2_a$ is a fiber of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\mathbb{S}^1_b$ is a fiber of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$. Thus, the complement in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is homeomorphic to B^3. In the general case of a handlebody of any genus, it is enough to observe that when we glue a handle in B^3, we increase the genus of the complement. This completes the proof. □

6.2 Construction of Special Basic Block in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$

Given $A_{m\times m}$, a non-negative irreducible integer matrix which is not a permutation matrix, our aim is to construct a block for the suspension of $\sigma(A)$ so that it verifies the conditions in Proposition 5.4.

Now suppose that $A_{m\times m}$ is a matrix with k ones on the diagonal and all other entries equal to zero. Then one has the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *Let v be a vertex of L labelled with the suspension of a subshift of finite type $\sigma(A)$, where $A_{m\times m}$ is a matrix with k ones on the diagonal and other entries equal to zero. Let e^+ and e^- be the indegree and outdegree of v and $\{g_i^+\}$ and $\left\{g_j^-\right\}$ are the weights on the incoming and outgoing edges incident to v respectively. Suppose that*

$$k - \sum g_i^- = e^+ \quad \text{and}$$
$$k - \sum g_i^+ = e^-$$

Then, there exists a basic block X in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ for the suspension of $\sigma(A)$ with e^+ (e^-) entering (exiting) boundary components each being a 2-manifold of genus g_i^+ (g_j^-). Furthermore, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X$ is homeomorphic to $H_1^{g_1^+} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^+}^{g_{e^+}^+} \sqcup H_1^{g_1^-} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^-}^{g_{e^-}^-}$.

Proof. The dynamics associated to the suspension of $\sigma(A)$ is simple. It consists of a basic set with k periodic orbits. One can rewrite the hypothesis

$$(k-1)+1-\sum g_i^- = e^+,$$
$$(k-1)+1-\sum g_i^+ = e^-.$$

By Proposition 8.2 in [7] there exists a way of gluing $k-1$ round handles to e^- collarings of $\partial M_1 \ldots, \partial M_{e^-}$, where each handlebody M_i has genus g_i^-, such that the resulting 3−manifold X_1 is a basic block which contains $k-1$ periodic orbits. Also, $\partial X_1^+(\partial X_1^-)$ is composed by $e^+(e^-)$ closed surfaces of genus $g_i^+(g_j^-)$ respectively and the complement in $\mathbb{S}^3$ is homeomorphic to $H_1^{g_1^+} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^+}^{g_{e^+}^+} \sqcup H_1^{g_1^-} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^-}^{g_{e^-}^-}$. Recall that, since X_1 is a compact 3-manifold in $\mathbb{S}^3$, then one can embed X_1 in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. For simplicity we continue to denote this embedding by X_1. Now, glue X_1 to R_1 in order to construct a new basic block $X_2 = X_1 \cup R_1$ with k periodic orbits. By Lemma 6.1 and Corollary 6.1, X_2 verifies $\partial X_2 \approx \partial X_1^+ \cup \partial X_1^-$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X_2$ is homeomorphic to $H_1^{g_1^+} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^+}^{g_{e^+}^+} \sqcup H_1^{g_1^-} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^-}^{g_{e^-}^-}$. At this point, a basic set with k periodic orbits corresponding to the k ones on the diagonal of A has been constructed. Also, $m-k$ nilpotent handles must be glued to X_2. Recall that these handles are homeomorphic to $D^1 \times D^1 \times D^1$ and contain no recurrent points. These handles will correspond to the $m-k$ zeros on the diagonal of A. Finally, denote X as X_2 glued with $m-k$ nilpotent handles. Recall that these nilpotent handles do not modify ∂X_2.

□

For the general case, we can construct a basic block for the suspension of $\sigma(N)$ where N is a matrix that is flow equivalent to A. By definition, this implies that the suspensions of $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(N)$ are topologically equivalent. An essential proposition at this point is due to Franks [10].

Proposition 6.3. *If A is a non-negative irreducible integer matrix which is not a permutation matrix then given an integer $M > 0$ there is a matrix which is flow equivalent to N and which has every entry greater than M and non-diagonal entries even. The size of N depends only on A and not on M.*

By Proposition 6.3, the matrix $A_{m\times m}$ is flow equivalent to some matrix $N_{n\times n}$ which has all non-diagonal entries even,

$$\dim \ker\left((I-\overline{N}: F_2^n \to F_2^n)\right) = \dim \ker\left((I-\overline{A}: F_2^n \to F_2^n)\right) = k,$$

where $\overline{N}$ denotes the $\bmod 2$ reduction of N. By Proposition 6.2 we are able to construct a basic block X for the suspension of $\sigma(\overline{N})$. Our goal is to construct a basic block for the suspension of $\sigma(N)$. In order to achieve this, we wish to maintain the block X while modifying the flow within it. We will use the notion of one-handles H_i within round handles R_i and nilpotent handles N_t.

Let x be a point of $D^1 \times p \subset H_i$ where $p \in D^1$. The interval $D^1 \times p$ will be denoted by $W_i^u(x)$. Similarly, let x be a point of $q \times D^1$. The interval $q \times D^1$ will be denoted by $W_i^s(x)$. The reason for introducing one-handles is that if H_i intersects m times $\mu(H_j)$ then the ijth entry of $\overline{N}$ increases by m, where $\mu(x)$ is the first return map for the one-handle of the round handle.

This is precisely what we want to do, namely, increase the entries of $\overline{N}$ to obtain N. For this reason we will construct a connected surface U, such that, U contains all one handles and the flow is transversal to U.

For each one handle H_i, we consider two disjoint subsets E_1^i and E_2^i contained in H_i as shown in Figure 10, homeomorphic to $D^1 \times D^1$ such that

$$\mu(E_1^i \sqcup E_2^i) \cap H_i = \emptyset,$$

we will call E_1^i and E_2^i the ***set of ends*** of H_i. See Figure 10.

Thus, our aim is the construction of a surface $U \subset X$ such that U contains all one handles H_i and all nilpotent handles N_j.

On the other hand, in order to connect the one handles we consider disjoint rectangles embedded in the union of collaring of ∂M_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\}$ and consider the flow transversal to the collaring as described



Figure 10: p_i is the center of the one handle H_i

in Proposition 6.1. We will use these rectangles to connect the ends of the one handles associated to the collaring.

First fix a collaring of a handlebody $\partial M_i \times I$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, e^-\}$. Now consider the round handles $R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_l}, \dots, R_{j_{n(i)}}$ which glue to $\partial M_i \times I$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_l}$ are round handles, that have only one annulus which glues to $\partial M_i \times I$ and the remaining ones are invariant round handles with two annuli which glue to $\partial M_i \times I$. The special round handle R_1 shall be considered as if R_1 has one annulus glued to $\partial M_1 \times I$. Now, we connect the ends of ones handles $H_{j_1}, \dots, H_{j_l}$ with a rectangle embedded in the collaring of ∂M_i as described above. Thus, we can find a connected surface U_1^i such that, U_1^i contains all one handles $H_{j_1}, \dots, H_{j_l}$ of the round handles $R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_l}$ glued to $\partial M_i \times I$. For the invariant round handles, we glue a rectangle to $E_1^{j_{l+1}}$ and another rectangle to $E_2^{j_{n(i)}}$. Now, we connect $H_{j_{l+1}}$ with $H_{j_{l+2}}$ by gluing a rectangle joining $E_2^{j_{l+1}}$ and $E_1^{j_{l+2}}$. We do this successively until the last pair $H_{j_{n(i)-1}}$ and $H_{j_{n(i)}}$ have been joined. Thus, we can find a connected surface U_2^i, such that U_2^i contains all one handles $H_{j_{l+1}}, \dots, H_{j_{n(i)}}$. Now we connect U_1^i and U_2^i with a rectangle to obtain a connected surface U^i. Note that the flow is transversal to U^i.

In this fashion, we construct a collection of $\mathcal{U} = \{ U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq e^- \}$. Note that, $U^i \cap U^j$ is composed by the one handles that connect the collaring of ∂M_i with the collaring of ∂M_j. Define

$$U_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{e^-} U^i.$$

This surface U_1 contains all one handles associated to $R_1, \dots, R_k$. Extend U_1 by a rectangle $\hat{R}$, which contains all nilpotent one handles. See Figure 11.



Figure 11: $\hat{R}$ contains all nilpotent one handles.

Thus, by Proposition 6.1 one can find a surface U that contains U_1 and $\hat{R}$. Actually, one finds a surface V, such that $U \subset V$ and the first return map $\mu : U \to V$ is smooth. By construction, one has that

$$\overline{U} = (U - \mu(U)) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{e^-} \mu(E_1^i \sqcup E_2^i)$$

is connected.

Lemma 6.2. *Let U_0 be a small neighborhood of U in V as defined above. There exists an isotopy $\Psi_t : V \to V$ supported on the interior of U_0 such that Ψ_0 is the identity. Also, $\mu_1 = \Psi_1 \circ \mu : U \to V$ satisfies that its suspension flow with induced flow φ_t has a chain recurrent set which is topologically equivalent to the suspension of a subshift of finite type with matrix $A_{m \times m}$.*

Proof. We have that $\overline{U}$ is connected. Hence, for $p \in \operatorname{int}(\mu(W_i^u(p_i)) \cap (\partial M_i \times I))$ and $q \in \operatorname{int} H_j$ such that $W_j^u(q)$ and $\mu(H_\alpha)$ are disjoint $\forall \alpha \in \{1, \dots, e^-\}$, there exists a curve $\gamma : [0,3] \to \operatorname{int} \overline{U}$ such that $\gamma(1) = p$ and $\gamma(2) = q$. Also, the intersection of $\mu(H_i)$ and $\gamma([0,3])$ is connected and equal to $\mu(W_i^s(\mu^{-1}(p)))$ and its intersection with H_j lies in $W_j^u(q)$. For the other H_l's with $l \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i, j\}$ and $\gamma([0,3]) \cap H_l \neq \emptyset$, γ is transversal to $W_l^u(p_l)$. See Figure 12.



Figure 12: H_l is a connecting handle.

Thus, there is an isotopy supported in a tubular neighborhood of $\gamma([0,1])$ which pushes a small interval of $\mu(W_i^u(p_i))$ along the curve γ until it intersects $W_j^s(p_j)$ in two points. It is possible that this process causes intersection with other $W_l^s(p_l)$ but always an even number of them. See Figure 13.



Figure 13: Deformation of $\phi_1(H_i)$.

Note that this isotopy preserves the hyperbolicity of the one handles with respect to the first return map ϕ_1. In others words, if $\mu(W_i^u(x)) \cap W_j^u(y) \neq \emptyset$ then $W_j^u(y) \subset \mu(W_i^u(x))$ and similarly if $\mu(W_i^s(x)) \cap W_j^s(y) \neq \emptyset$ then $\mu(W_i^s(x)) \subset W_j^s(y)$ $\forall x \in H_i$ and $y \in H_j$.
Hence, to achieve the geometric intersection matrix N, which is flow equivalent to A, we argue as in [10]. First one needs to find a pairwise disjoint family of embedded curves $\gamma_{ij} : [0,3] \to \overline{U}$ with the properties described above for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The existence of this family $\{\gamma_{ij}\}$ with these properties is easy to see except for the fact that they are disjoint. Now, by using an isotopy supported on a neighborhood of γ_{11} we push off all other curves. We do this for γ'_{12} and in the same way for all the remaining curves.
By Proposition 6.3 we can, if necessary, replace the matrix N for another matrix which is flow equivalent to it, congruent $\bmod 2$ and has every entry as large as we want. In particular larger than the corresponding entry of A. We can suppose this has been done and for simplicity of notation continue to call this matrix N. Now for each γ_{ij} we can increase the intersection points of $W_j^s(p_j)$ with the image of $W_i^u(p_i)$ if we push back the curve through H_j again. See Figure 14.
This is referred to as the $ij-$wiggle operation. If this operation is repeated r times, $2r+1$ intersection points are added. Do this with $r = \frac{1}{2}[(A)_{ij} - (N)_{ij})]$ so that the ij entry of the matrix $\overline{N}$ agrees with $(N)_{ij}$. Repeat this process in order to get to the matrix N. Now isotope μ so that the final map has the desired property. □

Proposition 6.4. *Let $A_{m\times m}$ be a non-negative integer matrix with $k = \dim \ker(\overline{(I-A)}F_2^m \to F_2^m$, where $\overline{A}$ is the $\bmod 2$ reduction of A. Suppose also that $e^+, e^-, g_j^+, g_i^- \in \mathbb{N}$, with $i = 1, \dots, e^+$ and*



Figure 14: $ij-$wiggle operation.

$j = 1 \ldots, e^-$, *are positive integers satisfying*

1. $e^- - e^+ = \sum g_i^- - \sum g_j^+$,
2. $k - \sum g_i^- = e^+$ *and*
3. $k - \sum g_i^- = e^+$.

Then there exists a Smale flow ϕ_t *on* $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ *with a basic block* X *such that*

1. *The flow* ϕ_t *restricted to the basic set* $\Lambda \subset X$ *is topologically equivalent to the suspension of* $\sigma(A)$, *and*
2. $\partial X^+ (\partial X^-)$ *has* $e^+ (e^-)$ *components composed by surfaces of genus* $g_i^+ (g_j^-)$.
3. $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) - X$ *is homoemorphic to* $H_1^{g_1^+} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^+}^{g_{e^+}^+} \sqcup H_1^{g_1^-} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^-}^{g_{e^-}^-}$

Proof. By Proposition 6.3, one knows that $A_{m\times m}$ is flow equivalent to $N_{n\times n}$. By Proposition 6.2, we can build a basic block X_1 for $\overline{N}$, which satisfies assertions 2 and 3 of this proposition. For the first assertion, by Lemma 6.2, one has that, for U_0 chosen sufficiently small, $\mu : U_0 \to V$ is smooth and $\tau : U_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the smallest $t > 0$ such that $\phi_t(x) = \mu(x)$. Then the partial flow on

$$Z = \{\phi_t(x) | x \in U_0,\, 0 \leq t \leq \tau(x)\}\,.$$

is the suspension flow for μ. Now by the construction, the suspension flow for μ_1 is the same as for μ since μ and μ_1 are isotopic. On the other hand, since the isotopy is supported on the interior of U_0, one has that μ and μ_1 agree near the boundary of U_0. Then near the boundary of Z the suspension flow of μ_1, φ_t and the suspension flow of μ, ϕ_t agree. Now, let η_t be a flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ which is generated by the vector field which is tangent to the suspension flow of μ_1 on Z and tangent to ϕ_t elsewhere. Hence η_t is a Smale flow which satisfies this proposition. □

Proof of the sufficiency of the conditions of Theorem 1.1.
The sufficient conditions of Theorem 1.1 will be presented in two parts $\beta(L) = 1$ and $\beta(L) = 0$.

Case $\beta(L) = 1$:

Let L be an abstract Lyapunov graph with a cycle that satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3a) and (4). Suppose a is an edge in the cycle of L with weight g. Consider the graphs L_1 and L_2 each with a dangling edge as shown in Figure 15.



Figure 15: The graphs L_1 and L_2 have a dangling edge.

Now cut L along a and glue the graphs L_1 and L_2 by the dangling edges, as shown in Figure 15. Then a new abstract Lyapunov graph L' is obtained such that, L' is a tree and each vertex satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.4. Therefore, there exists a Smale flow ψ_t on S^3 with Lyapunov graph L' and such that L_1 and L_2 are associated to two handlebodies H_1^g and H_2^g, whose boundaries are unlinked in $\mathbb{S}^3$, as shown in Figure 16.



Figure 16: L' corresponds to a Smale flow on $\mathbb{S}^3$

.

Now we cut the two neighborhoods $N(H_1^g)$ and $N(H_2^g)$ of H_1^g and H_2^g respectively. Then gluing $S^3 - (N(H_1^g) \sqcup N(H_2^g))$ along $\partial N(H_1^g)$ and $\partial N(H_2^g)$ suitably, we obtain a Smale flow φ_t on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with Lyapunov graph L.

Case $\beta(L) = 0$: In this case we will consider two possibilities: (3b)i and (3b)ii.

Let L be an abstract Lyapunov tree with satisfies (1), (2), (3b)ii and (4).

By the condition (3b)ii, there is an edge a of L, such that the weight of a is $g > 0$. Now we cut L along a and glue the graphs L_1 and L_2 by the dangling edges as shown in Figure 17.

The new graphs L_1' and L_2' are obtained. Since, L_1' and L_2' satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4,



Figure 17: The graphs L_1 and L_2 have dangling edge.

there exists Smale flows ψ_t and ϕ_t on $\mathbb{S}^3$, such that the graph L_1 corresponds to a handlebody manifold H_1^g and the graph L_2 corresponds to a handlebody manifold H_2^g, as shown in Figure 18.



Figure 18: The graphs L'_1 and L'_2 correspond to Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^3$.

This implies that L_2 corresponds to a handlebody manifold H_2^g as well. Then we glue $((H_1^g)^c, \psi_t|_{(H_1^g)^c})$ and $((H_2^g)^c, \psi_t|_{(H_2^g)^c})$ suitably along their boundaries. We obtain a Smale flow ξ_t on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with Lyapunov graph L.

Let L be an abstract Lyapunov tree which satisfies (1), (2), (3b)i and (4).

Let v be the unique vertex that verifies the first condition of (3b)i. By Proposition 6.4, there exists a Smale flow ϕ_t on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and a basic block X embedded in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, associated to the vertex v. Also, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 - X$ is homeomorphic to $H_1^{g_1^+} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^+}^{g_{e^+}^+} \sqcup H_1^{g_1^-} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_{e^-}^{g_{e^-}^-}$. Now, if we cut L along all incoming and outgoing edges incident to the vertex v, we obtain $e^+ + e^-$ subgraphs with dangling edges which

can be denoted by $L_1^+, \ldots, L_{e^+}^+$ and $L_1^- \ldots, L_{e^-}^-$, as shown in Figure 19. We use the graphs L_i' and L_j'



Figure 19: The graphs L_i' and L_j' have a dangling edge.

with dangling edges to create the new graphs $\hat{L}_1, \ldots, \hat{L}_{e^+}$ and $\widetilde{L}_1 \ldots, \widetilde{L}_{e^-}$. Then by Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 2.4, there exist Smale flows ϕ_t^i and φ_t^j on $\mathbb{S}^3$ such that L_i^+ and L_j^- correspond to handlebodies H_i^+ and H_j^- respectively, as shown in Figure 20. Then we have constructed Smale flows on $(X, \phi_t|_X)$,



Figure 20: The graphs $\hat{L}_i$ and $\widetilde{L}_j$ correspond to Smale flows on $\mathbb{S}^3$.

$(H_i^+, \phi_t^i|_{H_i^+})$ and $(H_j^-, \varphi_t^i|_{H_j^-})$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, e^+\}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, e^-\}$. Finally, we glue these manifolds suitably, in order to obtain a Smale flow on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with Lyapunov graph L.

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the referee for reading the paper carefully and providing many helpful suggestions in organizing the layout of the main result and consequently improving its readability.

References

[1] F. Béguin and Ch. Bonatti, *Flots de Smale en dimension 3: présentations finies de voisinages invariants d'ensembles selles*, Topology **41**(2002),no. 1, 579-602.

[2] B. Bonatti and Z. Grines, *Knots as topological invariants for gradient-like diffeomorphisms of the sphere S^3*, Journal of dynamical and control systems **6**(2000), no. 4, 579-602.

[3] R. Bowen, *One-dimensional hyperbolic sets for flows*, Journal of Differential Equations**12**(1972), no. 1, 173-179.

[4] R. Bowen and J. Frank, *Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets*, The Annals of Mathematics **106**(1977), no. 1, 73-92.

[5] C. Conley, *Isolated invariant sets and the Morse index*, no. 38, AMS Bookstore, 1978.

[6] R. Cruz and K. de Rezende, *Cycle rank of Lyapunov graphs and the genera of manifolds.* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **126**(1998), no. 12, 3715-3720.

[7] K. de Rezende, *Smale flows on the three-sphere*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **303**(1987), no. 1, 283-310.

[8] K. de Rezende, *Gradient-like flows on surfaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **13**(1993), no. 3, 557-580. MR1245829(91j:58146)

[9] J. Frank, *Homology and dynamical systems*, no. 49, American Mathematical Soc., 1982.

[10] J. Frank, *Non-singular Smale Flows on S^3*, Topology **24**(1985), no. 3, 265-282.

[11] S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bulletin of the American mathematical Society **73**(1967), no. 6, 747-817.

[12] W. Wilson, *Smoothing derivatives of nonsingular Smale flows on* $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society (1969), 283-310.

[13] B. Yu, *Lyapunov graphs of nonsingular Smale flows on $S^2 \times S^1$*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **365**(2012), no. 2, 767-783.

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation, Unicamp, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

Institute of Sciences Mathematics and of Computation, University Of São Paulo, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation, Unicamp, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

Institute of Sciences Mathematics and of Computation, University Of São Paulo, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil